



DNSSEC: Where We Are (and how we get to where we want to be)

DNSSEC ASIA SUMMIT 2012


29-31 August 2012

richard.lamb@icann.org

DNSSEC: We have passed the point of


- Fast pace of deployment at the TLD level
- Deployed at root
- Supported by software
- Growing support by ISPs
- Required by new gTLDs

→ Inevitable widespread deployment across core Internet infrastructure




The Problem:


DNS Cache Poisoning Attack




Argghh! Now all ISP customers get sent to attacker.



Securing The Phone Book - DNS Security Extensions (DNSSEC)



Resolver only caches validated records



DNSSEC: Plenty of Motivation


- DNSChanger (Nov 2011), calls for deployment by government, etc...

- DANE

- Improved Web TLS and certs for all
 - Email S/MIME for all

- ...and

- SSH, IPSEC, VoIP
 - Digital identity
 - Other content (e.g. configurations, XML, app updates)
 - Smart Grid
 - A global PKI




A good ref <http://www.internetociety.org/deploy360/dnssec/>

The BAD: DNSChanger - 'Biggest Cybercriminal Takedown in History' – 4M machines, 100 countries, \$14M



Nov 2011 <http://krebsonsecurity.com/2011/11/malware-click-fraud-kingpins-arrested-in-estonia/>
End-2-end DNSSEC validation would have avoided the problems

The BAD: Brazilian ISP fall victim to a series of DNS attacks



7 Nov 2011 http://www.securelist.com/en/blog/208193214/Massive_DNS_poisoning_attacks_in_Brazil
End-2-end DNSSEC validation would have avoided the problems


The BAD: Other DNS hijacks*

- **25 Dec 2010 - Russian e-Payment Giant ChronoPay Hacked**
- **18 Dec 2009 – Twitter – “Iranian cyber army”**
- **13 Aug 2010 - Chinese gmail phishing attack**
- **25 Dec 2010 Tunisia DNS Hijack**
- **2009-2012 google.***
 - **April 28 2009 Google Puerto Rico sites redirected in DNS attack**
 - **May 9 2009 Morocco temporarily seize Google domain name**
- **9 Sep 2011 - Diginotar certificate compromise for Iranian users**
- **SSL / TLS doesn't tell you if you've been sent to the correct site, it only tells you if the DNS matches the name in the certificate. Unfortunately, majority of Web site certificates rely on DNS to validate identity.**
- **DNS is relied on for unexpected things though insecure.**

***A Brief History of DNS Hijacking - Google**

<http://costarica43.icann.org/meetings/sanjose2012/presentation-dns-hijackings-marquis-boire-12mar12-en.pdf>

DNSSEC support from government


- Sweden, Brazil, and others encourage DNSSEC deployment
- Mar 2012 - AT&T, CenturyLink (Qwest), Comcast, Cox, Sprint, TimeWarner Cable, and Verizon have pledged to comply and abide by US FCC [1] recommendations that include DNSSEC.. “A report by Gartner found 3.6 million Americans getting redirected to bogus websites in a single year, costing them \$3.2 billion.” [2].
- 2008 US .gov mandate.  >60% operational. [3]

[1] FCC=Federal Communications Commission=US communications Ministry

[2] <http://securitywatch.pcmag.com/security/295722-isps-agree-to-fcc-rules-on-anti-botnet-dnssec-internet-routing>


[3] <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/fy2008/m08-23.pdf>

DNSSEC = Global PKI



DNSSEC: Where we are

- Deployed on 92/315 TLDs (.asia, .tw 台灣 台灣, .kr 한국, .jp, .in, .lk, .kg, .tm, .am, .mm, .ua, .cr, .cz, .br, .se, .uk, .fr, .com, .tt, ...post)
- Root signed** and audited
- >84% of domain names could have DNSSEC
- Growing ISP support*
- 3rd party signing solutions are appearing (e.g., GoDaddy, VeriSign, Binerio,...)
- Unbound, BIND, DNSSEC-trigger, vsResolver and other s/w support and secure last-mile
- IETF DANE Certificate support RFC almost out
- * COMCAST Internet (USA), Telecom Italia (Italy), Vodafone (UK), T-Mobile NL, SurfNet NL, SANYO Information Technology Solutions JP, others..



**21 TCRs from: TT, BF, RU, CN, US, SE, NL, UG, BR, Benin, PT, NP, Mauritius, CZ, CA, JP, UK, NZ

But...

- But deployed on < 1% of 2nd level domains. Many have plans. Few have taken the step (e.g., yandex.com, paypal.com*, comcast.com).
- DNSChanger and other attacks highlight today's need. (e.g end-2-end DNSSEC validation would have avoided the problems)
- Innovative security solutions (e.g., DANE) highlight tomorrow's value.

* <http://fedv6-deployment.antd.nist.gov/cgi-bin/generate-com> http://www.thesecuritypractice.com/the_security_practice/2011/12/all-paypal-domains-are-now-using-dnssec.html
<http://www.nacion.com/2012-03-15/Tecnologia/Sitios-web-de-bancos-ticos-podran-ser-mas-seguros.aspx>

DNSSEC: So what's the problem?

- Not enough enterprise IT departments know about it or are busy putting out other fires.

- When they do look into it they hear FUD and lack of turnkey solutions.

- Registrars/DNS providers see no demand



Barriers to success

- Lack of Awareness at enterprise and customer level (e.g., security implications)
- Lack of Registrar support* and/or expertise or turn-key solutions
 - Chicken and egg
 - Justifying cost
- Implementation F.U.D.
 - Security/crypto/key management/complexity
 - Effect on existing enterprise operations: e.g. expiry, LB, CDN, etc..
- Un-trustworthy deployment
 - Yet another security thing to manage: “email the keys to everyone”
 - Insecure practices and processes
 - Garbage in, garbage out - what does signing my zone buy me?

***Partial list of Registrars supporting DNSSEC**

<http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/dnssec/deployment>

"What You Can Do"

- Raise Awareness of DNSSEC and its security value in your enterprises. Deploy on your domain names – it is “a feature”.
- Start DNSSEC implementation early, combine with other upgrades. Later, offer hosting as a service.
- At minimum ensure network and resolvers pass DNSSEC responses to end users unscathed to allow validation to occur there.

Solutions

- Raise awareness of domain holders, end users, h/w+s/w vendors [1]
 - Point to improved security as differentiator and the disadvantage of not adopting
 - New opportunities for O/S (mobile and desktop) and browser vendors
 - Added security for hardware products (e.g., validator in CPE)
 - Meet with Registrars and DNS providers
- Ease Implementation:
 - Take advantage of DNSSEC training[2] and learn from existing implementations
 - Automate key management and monitoring
 - Crypto: HSM? Smartcard? TPM chip? Soft keys? - all good
 - Seek “click and sign” interface simplicity
 - Start implementation early since to get ahead in learning curve
 - For ISPs, at minimum ensure validation can occur downstream to support end2end security
- Make it trustworthy:
 - Transparent and secure processes and practices
 - Writing a DPS creates the right mindset for:
 - Separation of duties
 - Documented procedures
 - Audit logging
 - Opportunity to improve overall operations using DNSSEC as an excuse [3]

[1] DNSSEC.jp and other groups are excellent examples

[2] APNIC, NSRC, ISOC, ICANN offer training

[3] ENISA report on DNSSEC deployment

Trustworthy Implementation

Building in security

- Getting the machinery for DNSSEC is easy (BIND, NSD/Unbound, OpenDNSSEC, etc..).
- Finding good security practices to run it is not.

Learn from CA successes (and mistakes)

- The good:
 - The people
 - The mindset
 - The practices
 - The legal framework
 - The audit against international accounting and technical standards
- The bad:
 - Diluted trust with a race to the bottom (>1400 CA's)
 - DigiNotar
 - Weak and inconsistent policies and controls
 - Lack of compromise notification (non-transparent)
 - Audits don't solve everything (ETSI audit)

COMODO
Creating Trust Online®



An implementation can be thi\$





...or this



FIPS 140-2 Valid



The Communications Security Establishment of the Government of Canada

five levels of security: Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4, and Level 5. Level 1 is the lowest level of security and is used in environments in which cryptographic operations are performed in a secure environment. Level 5 is the highest level of security and is used in environments in which cryptographic operations are performed in a secure environment.

Athena IDProtect by Athena IDProtect (Revision D)

testing accredited laboratory:

Level 3
Level 3
Level 4
Level 3
Level 3
Level 3
Level N/A



Cryptographic Key Management: Level 3
Self-Tests: Level 3
Mitigation of Other Attacks: Level 3
tested in the following configuration(s): N/A

Algorithms are used: Triple-DES (Cert. #560); Triple-DES MAC (Triple-DES Cert. #560, vendor affirmed); AES (Cert. #577); SHS (Cert. #633); RNG (Cert. #332); RSA (Cert. #264)

following non-FIPS approved algorithms:

RSA (key wrapping; key establishment methodology provides between 80 and 112 bits of encryption strength)

Overall Level Achieved: 3

Signed on behalf of the Government of the United States

Signature: *William C. Barker*

Dated: *March 31, 2008*


Chief, Computer Security Division
National Institute of Standards and Technology

Signed on behalf of the Government of Canada



Signature: *[Signature]*

Dated: *20 March 2008*

Director, Industry Program Group
Communications Security Establishment




..or this (CR NIC)




Animated slide

...or even this



But all must have:


- Published practice statement
 - Overview of operations
 - Setting expectations
 - Normal
 - Emergency
 - Limiting liability
- Documented procedures
- Multi person access requirements
- Audit logs
- Monitoring (e.g., for signature expiry)
- Good Random Number Generators



```
int getRandomNumber()
{
    return 4; // chosen by fair dice roll.
             // guaranteed to be random.
}
```

Intel RdRand

DRBGs
FIPS 140



Useful IETF RFCs:

DNSSEC Operational Practices <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-dnsop-rfc4641bis>

A Framework for DNSSEC Policies and DNSSEC Practice Statements <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-dnsop-dnssec-dps-framework>

Summary


- DNSSEC has left the starting gate but without greater support by Registrars, demand from domain name holders and trustworthy deployment by operators, it will die on the vine
- Building awareness amongst a larger audience based on recent attacks and increased interest in cyber security may be one solution
- Drawing on lessons learned from certificate authorities and other existing sources of trust on the Internet can make DNSSEC a source of innovation and opportunity for all

+1-202-709-5262
VoIP

DNS is a part of all ecosystems



e-Passport
symbol



lamb@xtn.com




Smart Electrical Grid



COMODO
Creating Trust Online®



US-NSTIC



OECS ID effort



Trust frameworks are not new



mydomainname.com



The Internet's Phone Book - Domain Name System (DNS+DNSSEC)

